

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/490,354	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	

All Participants:

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Arik B. Ranson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2006

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

final rejection

Claims discussed:

independent claims and claims 2, 39 and 48.

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of records

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's attorney that applicant's after-final arguments are persuasive with respect to some of the claims but not with respect to claims 1, 34, 38, 45, 49, 50-51 unless incorporation of dependent claims limitations be part of the claims. Mr. Ranson explained the invention in detailed and per consultation with the applicant agreed on the following terms:

- a) incorporation of added limitations into disputed independent claims as it appears in the examiner amendment (see enclosed office action).
- b) cancellation of claims 30, 32, 39 and 48.
- c) It was agreed the changes would be done by examiner amendment in order to expedite the process of examination. Therefore based on the above agreement and applicant's arguments filed on 01/19/2006 and arguments presented on the interview on 02/07/2006 the remaining claims 1-24, 26-29, 33-38, 40-45 and 49-55 are allowed..